S∨P€RN◇VA R€MNANTS AN ◇DYSS€Y IN SPA<€ AFT€R ST€LLAR D€ATH 6 - 11 JUNE 2016, CHANIA, CRETE, GREECE

Magnetic fields in SNRs and PWNe: radio polarization results

Wolfgang Reich Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie Bonn, Germany







"Synchrotron History"

- Synchrotron emission: proposed by Alven & Herlofson (1950) and Kiepenheuer (1950) to explain Galactic radio emission and by Shklovskij (1953) to explain SNR emission
- Optical polarization from the Crab nebula detected by Dombrovski (1954)
- "Evidence for <u>polarized radio radiation</u> from the Crab nebula" Mayer, McCullough & Sloanaker, 1957, ApJ, 126, 458 Naval Research Lab 50ft at λ=3.15 cm: PC ~7% at ~149°
- → SNRs are synchrotron emitters at radio wavelengths

SNR parameters from synchrotron emission

- Flux density:
- Relativistic electrons:
- Polarization degree:
- Faraday rotation angle:
- Rotation measure: $RM = 8.1 \times 10^5 \int N(cm^{-3}) B_{\parallel}(G) dz(pc)$
- measure at two frequencies or more : <u>S, γ, Ψ, RM</u>
 → SNR spectrum, regular/random B-field, B-field
 direction (and B-field strength, if n(E), N are known)

$$S_{\nu} \sim n(E) \quad B_{\perp}^{(\gamma+1)/2} \quad \nu^{-(\gamma-1)/2} \sim \nu^{-\alpha}$$

$$n(E) \sim E^{-\gamma}$$

$$p(\gamma) = \frac{3\gamma+3}{3\gamma+7}$$

$$\Psi_{rot}(rad) = RM(rad/m^2) \times \lambda(m)^2$$

Supernovae and their polarized SNRs

 Type Ib/II-P (core-collaps) leave a pulsar and a pulsar wind nebula (**PWN**) (plerions, filled-centre, or Crab-like SNR) and a shell (?):

Polarization: radial, uniform, patchy

 Type Ia/II-L (thermonuclear) complete disruption leave a pure shell-type SNR:

Polarization: radial (young), tangential (evolved)

Combined type SNRs have a plerion inside a shell and are from type lb/II-P events



→ the polarized SNR-Zoo: PWNe, young shells, evolved shells

Polarized PWNe – Tau A

Tau A EB 32GHz 26" PI+B-field



Effelsberg (2005)

VLA 21cm 1.8" RM corrected B-field direction Bietenholz & Kronberg 1990

3C58 - SN1181







G21.5-0.9

22.3 GHz Nobeyama Array beam 8" (Fürst et al. 1988)



DA495 + G76.9+1.0 with a steep spectrum





Kothes et al. (2008) dipol+toroidal B-field model $\alpha = -0.45 / -0.87$ break 1.3 GHz B-field ~1.3 mG

Kothes et al. (2006) $\alpha = -0.60$ break 0.4GHz Sun et al. (2011) $\alpha = -0.89$ break 1 GHz





DA495: B-field direction with RM correction

Fainter PWNe with asymmetric PI distribution

G20.2-0.2 EB 6cm PI+I



G63.7+1.1 EB 2.8cm B-field



 α = -0.06 PC_{mean} 5% PC_{peak} 10%

G20 2.8cm PI(15mK) + B I(20,40,..mK)



 α = -0.24 PC_{mean} 8% PC_{peak} 12%

Combined SNR: G18.9-1.1



Effelsberg 10.55 GHz, beam 1.2', Fürst et al. (1997) α = -0.28 (diffuse), -0.4 (outer arc), PC_{mean} 6%, PC_{peak} 30% Distance ~2 kpc, diameter ~20pc, age ~6500 yr ★ compact X-ray source (*CHANDRA*, Tüllmann et al., 2010)

Young SNR shells (Free expansion)

- Predominantly radial field
- Small-scale variations (sub-pc scales)
- Polarized fraction (PI/TP) up to 15% with local enhancements. A large fraction of random magnetic field exists.
- Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities between shock and ejecta, stretching of magnetic field (?)

CAS A EB 32 GHz 36" PI(B-field) I



Tycho EB 10.55 GHz 1.2' I PI(B-field)





The magnetic field direction in SNRs

Whiteoak & Gardner (1968)





Local B-field perpendicular to the l.o.s. => tangential field is observed

Local B-field parallel to the l.o.s. => radial field is observed

Evolved SNR shell with tangential B-field

CTB1 EB 2.8cm PI(B)+I



Schmidt et al. 1993

Shell-type SNRs with radial B-field

HC30 EB 6cm PI(B) I(cont)



G179.0+2.6 Uru 6cm PI(B-field) + I



 α = -0.54 PC_{mean} ~12% PC_{peak} ~25%

Gao et al. (2011) α = -0.45 $\,PC_{mean}\,{\sim}12\%$

Depolarization => underestimate of the regular B-field

- PC_{obs} is below the intrinsic value $f(\gamma)$ of about 70%
- Depolarization by the antenna beam, bandwidth, variation of spectral index.
- If the rotation of the polarization angle varies along the line-of-sight within the SNR, e.g. slab model for internal uniform rotation (Burn 1966), then:

 $PC_{obs} = PC_{int} \times (B_{\perp}/B_{o})^{2} \times |sinc \Psi|$

• Internal and external RM-fluctuations σ_{RM}

RM of SNRs provide B₁₁ => correction for Galactic contribution needed

- Observed RM = RM(foreground) + RM(SNR) + RM(background)
- RM (extragalactic sources) = RM(foreground + background)
- PSR-RMs or RMs from Galactic models = f(distance)
- RM-ambiguity three frequencies or more, RM-synthesis
 => example HB9
- RM from Galactic B-field model
 => example W49B



HB9 1410 MHz



 $\Psi(rad) = \Psi_0(rad) + RM(rad/m^2) \times \lambda(m)^2 + \underline{n \times \pi}$



RM corrected B-field direction



=> narrow-band multichannel polarimeter (> 10³ channels)
and ,RM Synthesis' => no RM ambiguity, provides
simultaneous RM foreground and background components

CTA 1

Sun et al. (2011): b ~10° EB 11cm, beam 4'.4 PI (black contours, B) I (white contours)





G156.2+5.7

- detected by very strong soft X-rays (ROSAT, Pfeffermann et al. 1991)
- very low radio surface brightness
- a high polarization degree: >50% up to intrinsic (Reich et al. 1992, Xu et al. 2007)
- high ambient B-field inclination about 60°, local anomaly
- Suzuku hard X-rays: evolved, ~7-15 10³ yr, distance ~1.1 kpc (Uchida et al., 2012)
- Ha expansion, a few 10^4 yr, distance >1.7 km (Katsuda et al. nreprint) G156.2+5.7 EB 11cm B-field G156.2+5.7 RM 11/6cm Xu et al.(2007)





Zero-level effects on SNR polarization

- Flux integration of compact or extended sources are relative to a local zero-level
- no problems for total intensities, except confusion or missing zero-spacings
- polarized intensities are calculated from Stokes U and Q ==> PI = (U² + Q²)^{0.5}
- U_{off} and Q_{off} are assumed to be zero when measured with an interferometer or a single-dish, but are at a certain positive or negative level

Interferometric versus single-dish observations

G16.2-2.7 NVSS



G16.2-2.7 EB+Uru+K9 PI 45/15mK I 40,60,80..



DA530 = G93.3+6.9 EB 6cm Kothes & Brown (2008) α = -0.45 PC_{mean} ~40%





Missing component: a scalar for total intensities <u>a vector for polarization</u>:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{PI}_{\mathsf{abs}} &= ((\mathsf{U} + \mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{off}})^2 + (\mathsf{Q} + \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{off}})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{PI}_{\mathsf{abs}} \neq \mathsf{PI} + \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{off}} \\ \varphi_{\mathsf{abs}} &= 0.5 \; \mathsf{atan}((\mathsf{U} + \mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{off}}) / (\mathsf{Q} + \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{off}})) \twoheadrightarrow \varphi_{\mathsf{abs}} \neq \varphi + \varphi_{\mathsf{off}} \end{aligned}$$

HB3 6-cm EB+Urumqi PI(B-field) + I-contours

+ WMAP based absolute zero-level correction for U,Q



G16.85-1.05, a HII-region not a SNR



PI

Galactic RM effects on SNR observations



The magnetic field strength from radio data

Problem: B field strength can only measured in combination with n(E) or N_e Assumption of 'Equipartition': Minimum total energy of electrons, protons and magnetic field. Justified ?

e.g. $B_{min} = C \times (L/V)^{2/7}$

Arbutina et al. (2012, 2013) - Table of SNR B-fields (+ Web application)

Magnetic field estimates from spectral fits of SNRs including γ and X-ray data indicate significant deviations, mostly higher fields, but...

OH masers within SNRs: (very) high local fields

The Cygnus Loop = two SNRs (?)

EB 11-cm, beam 4.3' (Uyaniker et al., 2002, 2004)



SNR large enough for WMAP and PLANCK beams ~0.5° to 1° (<30 GHz)



11cm I (red), PI (green) ROSAT X-ray (blue)



Noutsos et al. 2011

Cygnus Loop WMAP(23GHz) 1D B-field



Cygnus Loop PLANCK(28GHz) 1D B-field

133°

W44 area

EB 11-cm Galactic plane survey + PLANCK 28.4 GHz contours



W44 EB 6-cm 2.4' PI(B) + I



Recently detected radio SNRs

New detections since Green's catalogue (2014) with 294 SNR: about 10, mostly from published surveys (CGPS, EB, Urumqi) + follow-up observations – all are faint, or very faint



PWN G141.2+5.0 Kothes et al. (2013)

G181.2+9.5 EB 6cm PI(B) + I



=> see Poster P. Reich et al.

Concluding Remarks

- Radio polarization observations provide information on the SNR magnetic field and reflect their interaction with the local Galactic magnetic field and ISM.
- Most SNRs were discovered in the radio range. Magnetic field information is limited for most SNRs.
- The detection of faint missing SNRs suffers from confusion effects. Highly polarized SNRs seem easier to be detected by their polarized emission. Faraday effects influence the analysis of faint and of distant objects.