# Evolution of Pulsar Wind Nebulae Inside Supernova Remnants

#### **Collaborators:**

Patrick Slane (CfA) John Blondin (NCSU) Christopher Kolb (NCSU) Niccolo Bucciantini (INAF) Jack Hughes (Rutgers) Eli Dwek (GSFC) Rick Arendt (GSFC) Steve Reynolds (NCSU) Kazik Borkowski (NCSU) Yosi Gelfand (NYU Abu Dhabi) Daniel Castro (GSFC) Paul Plucinsky (CfA) John Raymond (CfA) George Sonneborn (GSFC)

### **Tea Temim** (NASA GSFC/UMCP)

NASA

### Structure of a Composite SNR



Slane 2016

# **Evolutionary Stages**



Early evolution:PWNdrives a shock into the inner SN ejecta

$$R_{PWN} \approx 1.5 \dot{E}_0^{1/5} E_{SN}^{3/10} M_{ej}^{-1/2} t^{6/5}$$
 (hevalier)

#### Late evolution:

PWN interacts with the SN reverse shock, usually asymmetrically (e.g., Blondin et al. 2001, van der Swaluw et al. 2004)

- Pulsar motion
- Non-uniform ISM

#### *Slane* 2016

## What We Can Learn

- Young systems: PWNe sweeping-up SN ejecta
  - Probe SN ejecta (progenitor type and explosion mechanisms)
  - SN dust (origin of dust in galaxies, high z)
- Older systems: PWNe interacting with the SNR reverse shock
  - SN ejecta, ISM/CSM environment, explosion asymmetry
  - Injected particle population, fate of energetic particles, Galactic cosmic ray population

## Young Systems

Crab

G21.5-0.9

G54.1+0.3

B0540-69.3

3C 58

### Crab Nebula: Ejecta & Dust

Based on  $M_{ej} = 4.6 M_{\odot}$  and  $v_{ej} = 1700 - 1800 \text{ km/s}$ (1200 km/s for the filaments)  $\rightarrow$ low energy electron-capture SN with  $E_{51} \sim 0.1$ Yang & Chevalier 2015





#### **PWN-heated dust**

$$M_d \simeq 0.1 M_{\odot}$$

• Most of the dust mass is in the larger grains > 0.1  $\mu m$ 

• Consistent with Type IIP SN higher density and slower ejecta allow larger grains to form (e.g., Kozasa et al. 2009)

#### Gomez et al. 2012, Temim & Dwek 2015, Owen & Barlow 2015



Mass and temperature of swept-up ejecta (*Bocchino et al. 2001, Slane et al. 2004*) and the presence of slow H-rich material (*Fesen et al. 1988*) suggest an age of ~ 2400 yr and a Type IIP progenitor, similar to the Crab (*Chevalier 2005*)

## G54.1+0.3

Temim et al. 2010, 2016 Koo et al. 2008

#### Spitzer line emission



Emission lines broadened to 1000 km/s

PWN overtakes ejecta with  $v_s \sim 25 \text{ km/s}$ 

NASA/CXC/SAO/ Temim et al. 2010 ~ 20 M<sub>☉</sub> progenitor
 (Kim et al. 2013, Gelfand et al. 2015)

*Chandra* X-ray IRAC 8 μm MIPS 24 μm

## G54.1+0.3

Dust composition:  $Mg_{0.7}SiO_{2.7}$ (MgO/SiO<sub>2</sub> = 0.7)

Same as in Cas A (Arendt et al. 2014)



X-ray	X-ray PWN									
Dust	[M <sub>☉</sub> /pixel)									

			, ,	, ,
0.00066	0.00099	0.00131	0.00164	0.00196

 $M_d \le 0.3 M_{\odot}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  20 – 30 M $_{\odot}$  progenitor

Temim et al. 2016, in prep

### G21.5-0.9: PWN-Shocked Ejecta

• SNR age < 1000 yr

• X-ray shell: dust scattering halo and synchrotron emission from particles accelerated by the forward shock.

• See new results from deep *Chandra* observations: Poster S3.2 (B. Guest)

R ~ 3.6 pc NASA/CXO/Matheson & Safi-Harb 2010

e.g., Slane et al. 2000, Bocchino et al. 2005, Matheson & Safi-Harb 2010

## G21.5-0.9: PWN-Shocked Ejecta



[Fe II] 1.64 μm (VLT/ISAAC)

Zajczyk et al. 2012

## G21.5-0.9: Herschel Spectroscopy



### [C II] 157 μm

- Line at rest shown in blue  $\rightarrow$  limb brightened emission from shocked ejecta
- Red and blue shifted line emission in red  $\rightarrow$  line of sight shocked ejecta

### Kes 75: First detection of SN ejecta

Pulsar age ~ 800 yr, among youngest in the Galaxy (Gotthelf et al. 2000)

Large SNR size/expansion velocity, and clumpy CSM are characteristic of a WR progenitor (e.g Helfand et al. 2003)

MIPS 24 μm Chandra X-ray

Temim et al. 2013

### Kes 75: A dusty shell?

Herschel 70 µm Chandra X-ray MIPS 24  $\mu$ m Chandra X-ray

Temim et al. 2016, in prep

### Kes 75: Herschel Spectroscopy



### Ejecta expansion velocity of ~ 500 km/s

Temim et al. 2016, in prep

## Kes 75: Herschel Spectroscopy

Temim et al. 2016, in prep

Ejecta velocity of ~ 500 km/s



[O~I] 63.18  $\mu$ m line, FWHM = 1000 km/s



*Chandra, Herschel* 70 μm



 $\left[O~I\right]$  63.18  $\mu m$  line image

## Transitional Stage: Reverse Shock Reaching the PWN



G292.0+1.8 NASA/CXC/S.Park et al.

## G292.0+1.8

#### Bhalerao et al. 2015



Distribution of ejecta knots puts RS at the boundary of the radio PWN

### MSH 11-62

Slane et al. 2012

#### Age ~ 1200 yrs



PWN morphology and modeling of the system suggest that the reverse shock has begun compressing the PWN

10<sup>3</sup>

# G11.2-0.3

Borkowski et al. 2016

h

g

- Expansion rate  $\rightarrow$  age = 1400 2400 yrs
- Possibly a stripped-envelope SN that swept up previously lost mass into dense shell



Radio PWN & thermal X-rays anticorrelated → RS has reheated all ejecta and compressed the PWN

400 ks Chandra image

d

e

а

b

С

# **Evolved Systems**

Vela SNR



MSH 15-56

#### G327.1-1.1

### SNR G327.1-1.1: Crushed PWN in an evolved SNR

X-ray (blue) Radio (red)  $R_{SNR} = 8.5'$ Temim et al. 2009

### Sedov (d = 9 kpc) R = 22 pc $n_0 = 0.12 cm^{-3}$ Age = 17k yr T = 0.3 keV $v_s = 500 km/s$

 $N_{H} = 2 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  $v_{PSR} = 400 \text{ km/s}$ 



### SNR G327.1-1.1: Crushed PWN in an evolved SNR



Preliminary

### Chandra 350 ks observation

X-ray emission from relic PWN, mixed-in ejecta



Thermal X-ray emission from SNR shell, T= 0.3 keV



Outflow ahead of the pulsar?



T. Temim, P. Slane, C. Kolb, J. Blondin, J. Hughes, N. Bucciantini, 2015

### Chandra 350 ks observation

X-ray emission from relic PWN, mixed-in ejecta



Thermal X-ray emission from SNR shell, T= 0.3 keV





T. Temim, P. Slane, C. Kolb, J. Blondin, J. Hughes, N. Bucciantini, 2015

### HD Model of a PWN Expanding Inside a Supernova Remnant

Parameter	Description	Value		
SNR Properties:				
$D (\text{kpc})^*$	SNR distance	9.0		
$R_{SNR} (pc)^*$	SNR radius	22		
$v_s \ (\rm km/s)^*$	Shock velocity	500		
$t (yr)^*$	SNR age	17400		
$n_0 \ ({\rm cm}^{-3})$	Average ambient density	0.12		
$E_{51}$ (10 <sup>51</sup> erg)	Explosion energy	0.5		
$M_{ei}$ (M <sub><math>\odot</math></sub> )	SN ejecta mass	4.5		
<b>PWN</b> Properties:				
$R_{PWN}$ (pc)*	PWN radius •	5.0		
$v_p  (\rm km/s)$	Pulsar velocity	400 (north)		
$L_{X(2-10)}$ (erg/s)	PWN X-ray luminosity	$7.2 \times 10^{34}$		
$\dot{E}_0$ (erg/s)	Initial spin-down luminosity	$2.8  imes 10^{38}$		
n	Pulsar braking index	3.0		
$ au_0$ (yr)	Spin-down timescale	2000		
$B(\tilde{\mu}G)$	PWN magnetic field	11		
	C			
Density Gradient:				
$\overline{x}$	Density contrast of 12.5	1.08		
H (pc)	Characteristic length scale	5.2		
Orientation	0	East/West		
		1		

Time dependent pulsar spin-down power driving the PWN:

$$\dot{E} = \dot{E}_0 \left(1 + \frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{n-1}}$$

Parameters in blue adjusted to produce the desired PWN morphology and SNR/PWN dimensions at the estimated SNR age of ~ 17,000 yr.



Modified VH-1 (Blondin et al. 2001)



### Morphology Comparison

Trail thickness→ pulsar's spin down luminosity ↑

ISM density gradient **Pulsar velocity** 

Temim et al. 2015

Displacement of "relic" PWN → orientation of density gradient Orientation of trail → combination of gradient and pulsar motion direction

### Broadband Spectrum of the PWN at 17,000 yrs



Model for radiative evolution of the PWN - Gelfand et al. (2009)
Input parameters from observational constraints and HD model
→ B = 11 µG and an electron energy break at 300 GeV

### Age of particles injected by the pulsar at SNR age of 17,000 yr



Temim et al. 2015



Simulation shows mixing of ejecta and PWN gas throughout the disrupted nebula, consistent with observations (*LaMassa et al. 2008*)



New *Chandra* observation of the PWN region provides 15 yr baseline to measure the pulsar's velocity



New *Chandra* observation of the PWN region provides 15 yr baseline to measure the pulsar's velocity



New *Chandra* observation of the PWN region provides 15 yr baseline to measure the pulsar's velocity



New *Chandra* observation of the PWN region provides 15 yr baseline to measure the pulsar's velocity

# Conclusions

• Multi-wavelength observations of PWNe inside SNRs at various stages of evolution have significantly improved our understanding of composite SNRs

• Young PWN expanding into SN ejecta give insight into PWN/SNR dynamics, properties of the SN ejecta and dust, and nature of the SN progenitor

 New HD simulations of evolved systems give insight into the structure and evolution of composite SNRs and clues on what physical parameters determine the morphology of the systems → information about ambient ISM, SN ejecta, pulsar properties, energetic particle population

• Future studies combining HD and radiative evolution models with detailed observational constraints will improve our understanding of the PWN/SNR interaction

#### Dust Temperature (K)

#### Dust Mass ( $M_{\odot}$ /pixel)

					2								
,	1	I	1				1						
35	38	40	43	45	48	50	52	55	0.00051	0.00088	0.00125	0.00162	0.00199



Temim et al. 2016, in prep