X-ray Studies of SNRs as Probes of Their Progenitors

DAN PATNAUDE (SMITHSONIAN ASTROPHYSICAL OBSERVATORY)

- C. Badenes (Pitt)
- H. Lee (ISAS/JAXA)
- P. Slane (SAO)
- D. Ellison (NCSU)
- A. Heger (Monash)
- R. Fesen (Dartmouth)
- S. Park (UT-Arlington)
- D. Milisavljevic (SAO)



Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory

THE GOAL:



Connect a supernova remnant to some sort of progenitor

Cas A: 1951 - 2014



Cas A: 1951 - 2014



Spectroscopically, a small cavity around the Cas A progenitor is required in order explain observed T_e and $n_e t$

Table 2 SNR Models			
Bubble Size (pc)	Blast Wave Radius (pc)	Blast Wave Speed (km s ⁻¹)	SNR Age (yrs)
0.0	2.37	5072	325
0.0	2.5	4930	350
0.1	2.5	4791	339
0.2	2.5	5007	331
0.3	2.5	5044	324



Cas A: 1951 - 2014



Hydrodynamical simulations suggest that no (< 5K year) WR phase is required in order to explain the dynamics of the FS and RS





Cas A: 1951 - 2014



Model predicts ~ 0.1M_{sun} of unshocked Fe considerably more than expected from Hwang & Laming (2012)





Cas A: 1951 - 2014









Patnaude et al. (2012) ApJ 756, 6

Morphology is well modeled as a SN expanding into a dense wind from an AGB companion

Morphology and position above Galactic plane suggests a systemic velocity of ~ 200 km s⁻¹, into an ISM with $n_{amb} \sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ cm⁻³

$$\dot{M} = 3.15 \times 10^{-7} \frac{n_{amb} u_*^2}{v_{wind}} \left(\frac{r_0}{\rm pc}\right)^2$$



Patnaude et al. (2012) ApJ 756, 6

Uncertainty in distance leads to a stagnation radius of $r_0 = 2-4$ pc

$$\dot{M} = 10^{-5} - 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}}$$

Use progenitor parameters derived from north to model X-ray emission and dynamics of south





		0102	
	Line fluxes $(10^{-5} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$		
Si K	χ ^b	49.9 ± 0.20	
Si xi	v Lyα	$<\!\!4.70 \pm 0.47$	
Si K	β	5.64 ± 0.30	
S Ka	!	13.5 ± 0.30	
Ar K	α	1.19 ± 0.16	
Ca K	α	0.38 ± 0.07	
Fe K	α	3.84 ± 0.14	
Line centroids (keV)			
Si K	χ	$1.848^{+0.003}_{-0.002}$	
Si K	β	$2.190^{+0.004}_{-0.003}$	
S Ka	2	$2.425^{+0.007}_{-0.006}$	
Ar K	α	$3.077^{+0.005}_{-0.006}$	
Ca k	ά	$3.799^{+0.010}_{-0.010}$	
Fe K	α	$6.450^{+0.010}_{-0.008}$	

Model SNR ejecta with Type Ia DDT models (1.4M_{sun} C+O WD) evolving into an isotropic wind with parameters determined from CSM interaction



Model SNR ejecta with Type Ia DDT models (1.4M_{sun} C+O WD) evolving into an isotropic wind with parameters determined from CSM interaction

Use ejecta abundances and internal energy of shocked material to compute X-ray spectrum



Patnaude et al. (2012) ApJ 756, 6

Model SNR ejecta with Type Ia DDT models (1.4M_{sun} C+O WD) evolving into an isotropic wind with parameters determined from CSM interaction

Use ejecta abundances and internal energy of shocked material to compute X-ray spectrum

Kepler

DDTa DDTg ⁻lux [counts/sec/keV 10-1 10-2 $\dot{M} = 2 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ $\dot{M} = 4 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ $\dot{M} = 8 \times 10^{-6} M$ 10-4 Lack of Fe emission from DDTg 0.6 0.8 1 0.4 2 4 6 8 Energy [keV] models rules these models out for Patnaude et al. (2012) ApJ 756, 6

Model SNR ejecta with Type Ia DDT models (1.4M_{sun} C+O WD) evolving into an isotropic wind with parameters determined from CSM interaction

Use ejecta abundances and internal energy of shocked material to compute X-ray spectrum

Line Centroids:

1.2

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

й [10-5 М_© уг−1]



DDTa

DDTg

8

Model SNR ejecta with Type Ia DDT models (1.4M_{sun} C+O WD) evolving into an isotropic wind with parameters determined from CSM interaction

Use ejecta abundances and internal energy of shocked material to compute X-ray spectrum

Introduce a fiducial cavity of size ~ 10¹⁷ cm and density ~ 0.1 cm⁻³ around DDTa models



Patnaude et al. (2012) ApJ 756, 6

Model SNR ejecta with Type Ia DDT models (1.4M_{sun} C+O WD) evolving into an isotropic wind with parameters determined from CSM interaction

Use ejecta abundances and internal energy of shocked material to compute X-ray spectrum

Introduce a fiducial cavity of size ~ 10¹⁷ cm and density ~ 0.1 cm⁻³ around DDTa models



Patnaude et al. (2012) ApJ 756, 6





Patnaude et al. (2012) ApJ 756, 6







Artist's conception of a massive star cluster



RGB movie of Cas A from 2000-2012 (Patnaude et al.)

Do progenitor models produce the bulk observables of supernova remnants?





Bulk Properties

- Spectral qualities
 - Line luminosities are uniformly distributed across both la and CC types
 - Line centroids show a clear bifurcation between SN types



Bulk Properties



Bulk Properties



- Progenitor and Supernova Model
 - use KEPLER code (e.g., Heger & Woosley) for stellar evolution and piston driven explosion
 - standard r⁻² circumstellar environment
- Supernova Remnant Model
 - ChN cosmic-ray hydrodynamics code (see talk by Herman Lee)

- Can simulate SNe dynamics to ages of SNRs for a range of CSM parameters
- la's (DDTa & DDTg):
 - $n_0 = 0.1 3.0 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
- CCSNe (S12D, S25D, 1987A, & 1993J):
 - $M_{dot} = 1-2 \times 10^{-5} M_{sun} yr^{-1}$
 - v_w = 10-20 km s⁻¹
- Use la models as a sanity check



- Can simulate SNe dynamics to ages of SNRs for a range of CSM parameters
- la's (DDTa & DDTg):
 - $n_0 = 0.1 3.0 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
- CCSNe (S12D, S25D, 1987A, & 1993J):
 - $M_{dot} = 1-2 \times 10^{-5} M_{sun} yr^{-1}$
 - v_w = 10-20 km s⁻¹
- Use la models as a sanity check



- Can simulate SNe dynamics to ages of SNRs for a range of CSM parameters
- la's (DDTa & DDTg):
 - $n_0 = 0.1 3.0 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
- CCSNe (S12D, S25D, 1987A, & 1993J):
 - $M_{dot} = 1-2 \times 10^{-5} M_{sun} yr^{-1}$
 - v_w = 10-20 km s⁻¹
- Use la models as a sanity check



- Majority of CCSNR are consistent with CCSNe models
- Outliers can be explained
 - Cas A: overturned ejecta
 - W49B: Energetic, jetdriven explosion
 - N132D/IC443: Interacting with large amounts of MC material



- Majority of CCSNR are consistent with CCSNe models
- Outliers can be explained
 - Cas A: overturned ejecta
 - W49B: Energetic, jetdriven explosion
 - N132D/IC443: Interacting with large amounts of MC material



- Modeled SNR radii are consistent with observed radii in SNR, but generally the Fe-K luminosity vs radius shows that the models are underestimating L_{Fe-K}
- $R_b \propto \dot{M}^{-(1/(n-s))}$
- $L_X \propto \dot{M}^2$
 - Large increases in M result in an increase in L_X without significantly changing R_b
- Several SNe show evidence for eruptive pre-SN mass loss (e.g., SN 2009ip)



X-RAY LIGHT CURVES OF SNE

- Isotropic mass loss implies a steady decline in the X-ray luminosity
- X-ray light curves show strong evidence for departures from steady mass loss
- see poster by V. Dwarkadas (S1.5) for more details



X-RAY LIGHT CURVES OF SNE

- Isotropic mass loss implies a steady decline in the X-ray luminosity
- X-ray light curves show strong evidence for departures from steady mass loss
- see poster by V. Dwarkadas (S1.5) for more details
- Light curves argue for long term monitoring of X-ray SNe to probe CSM properties



LOOK TO SNE TO UNDERSTAND MASS LOSS



SN 2014C transitioned from a Ib to a IIn ~ 1 year after explosion. The transition was driven by the interaction between the blast wave and a dense CSM shell ejected from the progenitor ~ 500 yr before CC

Margutti et al (2016)

LOOK TO SNE TO UNDERSTAND MASS LOSS



SN 2014C transitioned from a Ib to a IIn ~ 1 year after explosion. The transition was driven by the interaction between the blast wave and a dense CSM shell ejected from the progenitor ~ 500 yr before CC

Other SNe show evidence for episodic mass loss prior to core collapse (2009ip; 2010mc; 2001em)

Margutti et al (2016)

MECHANISMS FOR ENHANCED MASS LOSS

Pulsational driven superwinds can enhance mass loss in early stages of massive star evolution

Mass loss prior to core collapse is not enhanced and the PDSW cannot explain enhanced CSM interaction in SNe



MECHANISMS FOR ENHANCED MASS LOSS





Convection driven instabilities can lead to the ejection of envelope material with masses 10⁻² - 10⁻¹ M_{sun} a few years before CC (Shiode & Quataert; 2014) Episodic mass loss prior to core-collapse may lead to increased ionization and higher line luminosities at much later times



- Models should encode both the dynamics and spectral properties of the SNR
- Kepler's SNR is the result of a 1991T-like event
- Models for core-collapse supernova progenitors can broadly reproduce Fe-K line emission and luminosity
 - Episodic mass-loss from convection driven instabilities may explain observed enhanced Fe-K emission

MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT



Deadline for regular conference registration (\$280 USD) is Thu, June 30, 2016. Late registration (\$330 USD) will be open until Fri, July 15th, 2016.

http:cxc.harvard.edu/cdo/next_decade2016/